## 155. Preparative Resolution of Heterocyclic Acetals Derived from Glycine, Mercapto-acetic Acid, β-Alanine, and Formyl- or Acetylacetic Acid by Recycling Chromatography on *Chiraspher* and Temperature Dependence of Separation Factors

by Joachim N. Kinkel

E. Merck, Sparte Reagenzien, Forschung Chromatographie, Frankfurter Strasse 250, D-6100 Darmstadt

and Urs Gysel<sup>1</sup>), Denis Blaser<sup>1</sup>), and Dieter Seebach\*

Laboratorium für Organische Chemie der Eidgenössischen Technischen Hochschule, ETH-Zentrum, Universitätstrasse 16, CH-8092 Zürich

(21.VIII.91)

Chiraspher, a polymer of ethyl N-acryloylphenylalanine on spherical silica gel, is used for the preparative separation by recycling chromatography of the enantiomers of oxazolidinones rac-5, thioxolanone rac-6, perhydropyrimidinone rac-7, and dioxinones rac-9 and 10 derived from the acids listed in the title (Figs. 1-5). The oxazolidinones rac-1a, -2, and -4 show a peculiar peak of the separation efficiences upon lowering the Chirasphercolumn temperature to  $15^{\circ}$  (Fig. 6). In some cases, multigram amounts of enantiomerically pure heterocycles could thus be prepared. The absolute configurations of most enantiomers are assigned. First applications of the *tert*-butyl 5-oxo-2-phenyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (5) as a nucleophilic chiral glycine building block are described (products 13–16, Scheme 2). A list of enantiomerically pure 1,3-dioxinones is presented (Table 1), showing a correlation between their absolute configuration, sense of optical rotation, and elution behavior on Chiraspher.

**Introduction.** – Chiral non-racemic cyclic acetals of type A–C have turned out to be useful starting materials for the preparation of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -amino- and  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -hydroxy carboxylic acids A<sup>1</sup>, B<sup>1</sup>, and B<sup>2</sup> (X = OH or NH<sub>2</sub>) [1–11]. In some cases, the derivatives A–C



<sup>1</sup>) Parts of the Ph. D. theses of U.G. (Diss. No. 9473) and D.B. (Diss. No. 9527), ETH Zürich, 1991.

(see 1–10) of achiral carboxylic acids could be synthesized from chiral starting materials [1] [2] [6] [7], in others they were obtained by crystallizing [4] or chromatographic [6] [9] resolution.

The chiral stationary phase *Chiraspher* turned out to be especially effective for the chromatographic resolution of the oxazolidinones *rac*-1-4 (separation factors  $\alpha = 1.5$ -2.4, synthetic applications, see [11] and *Exper. Part* for 1b). On the other hand, the phenyldioxinones *rac*-9 and *rac*-10 were already separated on cellulose triacetate with  $\alpha$  values between 2.2 and 4.5 [9]. With compounds *rac*-5-7, 9, and 10 for which the  $\alpha$  values were smaller than 1.5 (on *Chiraspher*), we have now applied recycling chromatography on *Chiraspher*, and we have tried to increase the  $\alpha$ -values by doing single-column elution mode, separations at temperatures below room temperature. The earliest resolutions using the recycling technique on cellulose triacetate were reported by *Schlögl* and *Wid*-*halm* [12], while the first examples of the method as such have been described as early as 1962 [13]<sup>2</sup>).



**Preparation of the Racemic Heterocycles.** – The heterocycles 1–10 were obtained in the following way: treatment of the sodium salts of the imine obtained from glycine and pivalaldehyde with acyl chlorides gave rac-1–4 [6]. Similarly, rac-5 was prepared using phosgene and *t*-BuOH for the introduction of the Boc group [16] (*Scheme 1*). Thioxolanone rac-6 was obtained following an old procedure [6] [17]. For the conversion of  $\beta$ -alanine to the perhydropyrimidinone rac-7, we have just recently given a detailed procedure [10]. The monosubstituted dioxinones rac-8 and -9 are formed upon heating

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) For leading references on theoretical and practical aspects of the method, see [14] [15].



5-formyl-substituted *Meldrum*'s acid with pival- or benzaldehyde, as shown for *rac*-8 in *Scheme 1* [6] [9] [18]<sup>3</sup>). Finally, *rac*-10 is the product from diketene and benzaldehyde [6] [9] [19]. For comparison, the dioxinones (R)- and (S)-8 were prepared from L-serine *via* the bromodioxanones *cis*- and *trans*-11 [2] and the bromodioxinones (R)- and (S)-12, respectively (*cf.* [2]).

Separations and Comparisons. – For the chromatographic enantiomer separations, we used the closed-loop recycling mode schematically shown in *Fig. 1* [14] [15]. Standard commercial HPLC equipment was employed (see *Exper. Part*). For maximum amounts of injected materials, we applied *peak shaving* and *overloading of the columns*, either by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>) To check whether the cuprate addition ot 2-(*tert*-butyl)dioxinone **8** (lacking substitution at C(6)) is stereoselective, we added Me<sub>2</sub>CuLi to it to find a single diastereoisomer (*trans*-2-(*tert*-butyl)-6-methyl-1,3-dioxan-4one), identified by comparison of its NMR spectra with literature data [20].

Helvetica Chimica Acta - Vol. 74 (1991)



Fig. 1. Schematic presentation of the closed-loop recycling mode used for the separations described and comparison with the elution mode. For further details, see Exper. Part.



1625

volume or by concentration. Thus, concentrated solutions of the oxazolidinone rac-5 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> or of the thioxolanone rac-6 in dioxane were injected into the rather apolar mobile phase hexane/i-PrOH 9:1 (*Fig. 2*). If not stated otherwise, *Chiraspher* of 5-µm particle size, containing ethyl (S)-phenylalaninate, was used; only in one case (rac-7, see *Fig. 3*) was the separation factor so small that columns of (*R*)- and (S)-chirality had to be used in order to prepare samples of *both* enantiomers in high enantiomeric purity. The



Fig. 3. *Resolution of* rac-7 *by recycling chromatography on* (R)-Chiraspher (250 × 50 mm (5 μm)). Eluent hexane/ i-PrOH 97:3, flow 80 ml/min, detection 254 nm. Injection of 1.0 g of *rac*-7 in 2 ml of i-PrOH. The (+)-form was eluted first. The peak shaving was done by automatic separation of the fronts and/or tails. Only the faster-moving enantiomer could be obtained in high enantiomeric purity. Thus, the two enantiomers were actually isolated by using columns of enantiomeric stationary phases.

advantages of the recycling mode, even in favorable cases which might allow for singlecolumn operation, are evident from *Fig.4* for the resolution of the dioxinone *rac*-9: improvement of yield and throughput, dramatic reduction of solvent consumption and of sorbent amount. A demonstration of the rather small peak broadening occurring with the *NovaPrep 5000* system, used for some of the separations, is given for dioxinone *rac*-10 in *Fig. 5* (see also *Exper. Part*).

Except for the compounds 6 and 7, the absolute configuration of all products separated in this investigation were assigned. For the dioxinones, the following rule emerges (see *Table 1*): with two exceptions so far<sup>4</sup>), the (+)-(S)-form of the enantiomers sepa-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>) The first-eluted enantiomers of **8** and of 5-bromo-2-(*tert*-butyl)-6-methyl-2H,4H-1,3-dioxin-4-one are the (-)-(R)-forms.





Fig.4. Comparison of a) the elution mode (single-column operation) and b) the recycling mode in the preparative resolution of rac-9 on Chiraspher. Eluent: Hexane/dioxane 95:5.

|                                     | Elution mode                | Recycling   |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Sample amount (in dioxane)          | 5 g                         | 1.25 g      |
| Column dimension                    | $400 \times 100 \text{ mm}$ | 250 × 25 mm |
| Amount of stationary phase          | 1700 g                      | 80 g        |
| Flow                                | 50 ml/min                   | 39 ml/min   |
| Particle size                       | 25 µm                       | 5 µm        |
| Solvent consumption                 | 3800 ml                     | 1000 ml     |
| Yield 1. enantiomer ( $ee > 99\%$ ) | 0.6 g                       | 0.6 g       |
| Yield 2. enantiomer                 | 0.2 g                       | 0.6 g       |
| Recovery                            | not determined              | > 95%       |
| Cycle time                          | 75 min                      | 120 min     |



Fig. 5. Closed-loop recycling chromatography of 0.20 g of rac-10 in 0.24 ml of dioxane on Chiraspher (250  $\times$  50 mm (5  $\mu$ m), eluent hexane/dioxane 9:1, flow 25 ml/min, detection 254 nm). After 11 cycles, a base-line separation is observed, whereupon the two peaks start coalescing again.

rated on *Chiraspher* is eluted first. For comparison, *Table 1* contains not only the monocyclic derivatives **D** prepared by us, but also some bicyclic spiro compounds **E** and **F** prepared from (–)-menthone; the generally smaller specific rotations of the latter ones might be an indication that they exist as mixtures of conformers in solution<sup>5</sup>).

Table 1. List of the Enantiomerically Pure Dioxinones



|   | <b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>              | R <sup>5</sup>      | R <sup>6</sup> |                | Conf.          | [a] <sub>D</sub> in CHCl <sub>3</sub> | Ref.      |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| D | Me                                 | Н                   | Me             | a)             | (R)            | $-192.2^{d}$ ) (c = 1.00)             | [6]       |
|   | Me                                 | Br                  | Me             | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )   | $-185.9^{\text{e}}$ ) (c = 2.52)      | [2]       |
|   | (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ph | Н                   | Me             | a)             | (R)            | -147.2 (c = 1.13)                     | [9]       |
|   | t-Bu                               | Н                   | н              | a)             | (R)- <b>8</b>  | -178.5 (c = 1.03)                     | this work |
|   | t-Bu                               | Н                   | Н              | a)             | (S)- <b>8</b>  | +198.4 (c = 1.00)                     | this work |
|   | t-Bu                               | Br                  | Н              | a)             | (R)- <b>12</b> | -216.9 (c = 1.01)                     | this work |
|   | t-Bu                               | Br                  | н              | a)             | (S)-12         | +213.4 (c = 1.00)                     | this work |
|   | t-Bu                               | Н                   | Me             | a)             | ( <i>R</i> )   | -217.7 (c = 1.00)                     | [2]       |
|   | t-Bu                               | Br                  | Me             | a)             | ( <i>R</i> )   | -183.9 (c = 1.17)                     | [2]       |
|   | t-Bu                               | N <sub>3</sub>      | Me             | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )   | -218.6 (c = 1.34)                     | [2]       |
|   | t-Bu                               | NHCOCH <sub>3</sub> | Me             | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )   | -177.2 (c = 0.45)                     | [2]       |
|   | t-Bu                               | Me                  | Me             | c)             | ( <i>R</i> )   | -231 (c = 0.78)                       | [7]       |
|   | t-Bu                               | Et                  | Me             | <sup>b</sup> ) | (R)            | -222 (c = 2.01)                       | [7]       |

<sup>5</sup>) In crystal structures (see ref. in *Table 1*), the i-PrCH group of **E** and **F** is in an equatorial position of the dioxinone sofa conformation (cf. [5]).

| Table | 1 | (cont.) |
|-------|---|---------|
|-------|---|---------|

|   |                  |                                | <b>P</b> 6          |                |                 |                              |      |
|---|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------|
|   | R <sup>2</sup>   | R <sup>2</sup>                 | <u>к</u> °          |                | Conf.           | $[a]_D$ in CHCl <sub>3</sub> | Ref. |
|   | t-Bu             | C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> | Me                  | <sup>b</sup> ) | (R)             | -192 (c = 1.68)              | [7]  |
|   | t-Bu             | $4-CF_3C_6H_4CH_2$             | Me                  | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )    | -133.2 (c = 0.60)            | [7]  |
|   | t-Bu             | Н                              | Et                  | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )    | -170.8 (c = 1.39)            | [2]  |
|   | t-Bu             | н                              | MeCBr <sub>2</sub>  | <sup>b</sup> ) | (R)             | -92.8 (c = 0.86)             | [2]  |
|   | t-Bu             | Н                              | $Ph(CH_2)_2$        | <sup>b</sup> ) | (R)             | -119.1 (c = 1.24)            | [21] |
|   | t-Bu             | Br                             | $CH_2N_3$           | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )    | -13.0 (c = 0.79)             | [22] |
|   | t-Bu             | Br                             | СНО                 | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )    | -12.0 (c = 2.32)             | [22] |
|   | t-Bu             | Br                             | CH <sub>2</sub> Br  | <sup>b</sup> ) | (R)             | -131.6 (c = 1.21)            | [2]  |
|   | t-Bu             | Н                              | CCl <sub>2</sub> Br | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>S</i> )    | +118.0 (c = 1.03)            | [23] |
|   | t-Bu             | Н                              | CHCl <sub>2</sub>   | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>S</i> )    | +159.9 (c = 1.01)            | [23] |
|   | t-Bu             | Br                             | CHCl <sub>2</sub>   | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>S</i> )    | +205.6 (c = 1.02)            | [23] |
|   | t-Bu             | Н                              | $CF_3$              | <sup>b</sup> ) | (R)             | -141.9 (c = 1.04)            | [24] |
|   | CCl <sub>3</sub> | н                              | Me                  | a)             | (R)             | -153.7 (c = 0.98)            | [9]  |
|   | Ph               | Н                              | Н                   | a)             | (R)- <b>9</b>   | -263.5 (c = 1.03)            | [9]  |
|   | Ph               | Н                              | н                   | a)             | (S)- <b>9</b>   | +269.0 (c = 1.05)            | [9]  |
|   | Ph               | Н                              | Me                  | <sup>a</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )-10 | -267.5 (c = 0.51)            | [9]  |
|   | Ph               | Н                              | Me                  | <sup>a</sup> ) | (S)- <b>10</b>  | +265.9 (c = 0.51)            | [9]  |
|   | Ph               | Br                             | Me                  | °)             | (S)             | +238.0 (c = 1.00)            | [9]  |
| Е | -                | Н                              | Н                   | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )    | -17.7 (c = 1.3)              | [25] |
|   | -                | Н                              | Me                  | <sup>b</sup> ) | (R)             | -22.5 (c = 0.4)              | [26] |
|   |                  | Н                              | CH <sub>2</sub> Br  | <sup>р</sup> ) | ( <i>R</i> )    | +16.0 (c = 1.11)             | [27] |
|   | -                | Н                              | СНО                 | <sup>b</sup> ) | (R)             | +65.1 (c = 1.14)             | [27] |
|   | -                | Н                              | COOMe               | <sup>b</sup> ) | (R)             | +7.0 (c = 1.00)              | [27] |
| F | _                | Н                              | Н                   | <sup>b</sup> ) | <i>(S)</i>      | -51.6 (c = 1.1)              | [25] |
|   |                  | Н                              | Me                  | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>S</i> )    | -27.4 (c = 0.48)             | [26] |
|   | _                | Н                              | CH <sub>2</sub> Br  | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>S</i> )    | -60.2 (c = 1.10)             | [27] |
|   | _                | Н                              | СНО                 | <sup>b</sup> ) | ( <i>S</i> )    | -171.8 (c = 0.95)            | [27] |
|   | ~                | Н                              | COOMe               | <sup>b</sup> ) | <i>(S)</i>      | -72.0 (c = 1.03)             | [27] |

<sup>a</sup>) Successfully separated on Chiraspher.

b) Separation on *Chiraspher* not investigated.

<sup>c</sup>) No separation on *Chiraspher*.

<sup>d</sup>) 72%ee (HPLC).

e) Max. 80%ee.

Change of temperature in chromatographic separations of our cyclic acetals on *Chiraspher* turned out not to be as useful as the application of the recycling technique: the five-ring heterocycles *rac*-1, -2, and -4 which separated well at room temperature showed a strong increase of the  $\alpha$  values upon cooling, while thioxolanone *rac*-6 and dioxinones *rac*-9 and -10 which started off with meager  $\alpha$  values showed only a small effect (see *Fig.6*). We discovered, however, an interesting maximum of the  $\alpha$  values for the first group of compounds at 15° and a distinct bent in the plot of  $\alpha$  values against temperature around 0°. A discontinuous temperature dependence had been observed before for other types of stationary phases (*e.g.* for reversed-phase materials *RP-18* [28]; with a liquid crystalline stationary phase covalently bonded to silica gel, a temperature dependence with a minimum of the relative selectivity of polyaromatics had been reported [29]). Such effects are thought to be caused by phase transitions of the coating material.

With the  $\alpha$ -naphthoyl derivative *rac*-3, a separation of the enantiomers was possible on a 1-g scale with 110 g of 40–63 µm *Chiraspher* using flash-chromatography conditions [30] (see *Exper. Part*).



Fig. 6. Temperature dependence of the separation factors  $\alpha$  for the resolution of rac-1a, -2, -4, -6, -9, and -10 on Chiraspher (250 × 4 mm (5 µm), eluent: hexane/i-PrOH 95:5, flow 1,0 ml/min, injection 20 µl, detection 254 nm).

Some Reactions of 5 and 6. – The absolute configurations of the enantiomerically heterocycles 1–4 [6] and 9, 10 [9] were assigned previously. Dioxinone 8 was separated only on an analytical *Chiraspher* column, and the enantiomers (*R*)- and (*S*)-8 were identified by comparison with independently prepared samples (*Scheme 1* and *Table 1*). Of the three remaining compounds rac-5–7, we have studied the reactions of the  $\beta$ -alanine derivative rac-7 [10], but not yet determined the sense of chirality of its enantiomers.

The enantiomers of rac-5 were assigned (+)-(R) and (-)-(S) on the basis of the following transformations (see Scheme 2a): a dextrorotatory sample was deprotonated and methylated to give a single diastereoisomer 13. The trans-configuration of 13 was established by NOE measurements and by comparison of its NMR spectrum and sign of specific rotation (both are dextrorotatory) with those of the analogous trans-4-methyl-5-oxo-2-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl benzoate [31]<sup>6</sup>). Cleavage of the heterocyclic ring of 13 led to the known N-Boc-protected (-)-L-alanine 14 in essentially optically pure form [32].

The availability of enantiomerically pure 5 by chromatography on *Chiraspher* makes this chiral glycine derivative a highly welcome new reagent for the preparation of amino acids: *i*) the very mild conditions of hydrolysis (LiOH in aqueous THF at  $0^{\circ}$ ) contrast most favorably to those required for the hydrolysis of the corresponding imidazolidi-

1630

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>) We have noticed previously [8] that the sign of rotation does not change on going from 1-benzoyl- to 1-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)imidazolidin-4-ones.



(from rac-6)

Ġн

nones (cf. [8] [33] with [31] [34] [35]); ii) the Boc-protected amino acid, which can directly be used in peptide synthesis, is obtained, rather than the free amino acid, which is difficult to purify and to isolate in pure form; iii) the benzylic C-O bond of the 2-phenyloxazolidinone system present in 5 and 13 may also be cleaved by catalytic hydrogenation (Scheme 2b). Thus, the aldol adduct 15 of rac-5 and anisaldehyde, formed with a diastereoselectivity of ca. 6:1 (configuration by analogy [3] [8] [34])<sup>7</sup>) was converted to the N-benzyl-N-Boc-protected 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)serine 16 in a  $H_2$  atmosphere of normal pressure and in the presence of Pd/C.

The thioxolanone 6 was a disappointing experience altogether. i) It is extremely sensitive to hydrolysis. ii) It has such a large tendency to racemize, even in the solid state, that several dispatches of enantiomerically pure samples of 6 put in the mail in Darmstadt had racemized upon arrival in Zürich! iii) Also, the Li enolate of 6 was rather unstable8), so that we allowed it to react only with the 'fastest' electrophiles, *i.e.* aldehydes. *iv*) We found that the products 17 were formed (60-80% yield) in poor diastereoselectivity (2:1 to 6:1) even at  $-100^{\circ}$ ; these hydroxyalkylated compounds 17a-c (Scheme 2c) were

<sup>7)</sup> It appears that aldol additions of Boc-protected imidazolidinones and oxazolidinones are generally less selective than those of the benzyloxycarbonyl- or benzoyl-protected analogues ([8] [11] [34] [36] and ref. cit. therein).

<sup>8)</sup> For reactions of other thioxolanone enolates, see [37] [38].

mainly spectroscopically<sup>9</sup>) characterized. The properties of the thioxolanone **6** prevented its use as a chiral acetic-acid enolate (*via Raney*-Ni desulfurization of products such as **17**, diastereoselectively formed with electrophiles), the purpose for which we had originally designed it.

## **Experimental Part**

General. THF was distilled under Ar over K/benzophenone ketyl prior to use and transferred with a syringe. Flasks, stirring bars, and hypodermic needles used for the generation and reactions of organolithium reagents were dried for ca. 12 h at 120°. All reactions involving air- or moisture-sensitive compounds were performed under dry Ar. The side arm of the reaction flask was stoppered with a serum cap, and the flask was connected to an Ar line by three-way taps. A positive Ar pressure was established by following the operation 'flask evacuation/Ar introduction' several times. Hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) was distilled from CaH<sub>2</sub> and stored over 4-Å molecular sieves. BuLi was purchased from the Metallgesellschaft, Frankfurt/Main, Germany, as a 1.56m soln. in hexane. All other commercial reagents were used as received. TLC: precoated silica gel 60  $F_{254}$  plates (Merck); detection by UV<sub>254</sub> light, phosphomolybdic acid soln. (25 g of phosphomolybdic acid, 10 g of  $Ce(SO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ , 60 ml of conc.  $H_2SO_4$ and 940 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O), ninhydrin soln. (600 mg of ninhydrin, 2 ml of AcOH and 285 ml of BuOH), or an anisaldehyde soln. (10 ml anisaldehyde, 10 ml of conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 5 ml of AcOH and 275 ml of EtOH). Column chromatography (flash chromatography (FC)): silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh, 0.04-0.063 mm; Fluka). Anal. HPLC: Kontron instrument (2 pumps, UV dectector Uvikon-LCD-75, programmer 200), coupled with an integrator (Shimadzu-C-R-1B-Chromatopak) using a steel column from Merck, Chiraspher (250 × 4 mm). Prep. HPLC: Knauer equipment (type 64 with prep. head, programmer 50, UV detector 'Variable-Wavelength Monitor'), using a steel column from Merck Chiraspher ( $250 \times 25$  mm). M.p.: open glass capillaries; Büchi 510 apparatus, uncorrected. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub>: at r.t. (20°), with a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter; in CHCl3 (stabilized 1% EtOH; Fluka) unless stated otherwise. IR spectra: CHCl<sub>3</sub> solns. or KBr discs. Perkin-Elmer 283 instrument;  $\tilde{v}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR spectra: for <sup>1</sup>H, Bruker WM-300 (300 MHz) or Varian Gemini (200 MHz) spectrometer; for <sup>13</sup>C Bruker WM-300 or Varian XL-300 spectrometer at 75 MHz, Varian Gemini at 50 MHz and Bruker AM-400 at 100 MHz;  $\delta$  in ppm downfield of TMS ( $\delta = 0$ ), J in Hz; unless stated otherwise, CDCl<sub>3</sub> solns. MS: *Hitachi-Perkin-Elmer RMU-6M* spectrometer; fragment ions in m/zwith relative intensities (%) in parentheses.

Benzyl rac-(2RS)-5-Oxo-2-(isopropyl)oxazolidine-3-carboxylate (rac-1b) was prepared according to the procedure in [6]. The crude oil contained mainly two compounds (TLC: SiO<sub>2</sub>; hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt 3:1:1):  $R_f$  0.40 (oxazolidinone);  $R_f$  0.22 (benzyl alcohol). The desired compound crystallized at -30° from hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1. Recrystallization from Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane yielded colorless crystals (31.6 g, 54%). M.p. 45.8–46.8°. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3020w, 2970w, 2940w, 2880w, 1800s, 1715s, 1500w, 1470w, 1450w, 1415m, 1350m, 1300m, 1250m, 1220 (br.), 1175m, 1120m, 1115m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (90 MHz): 0.90 (d, J = 7.2, 3 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH); 1.02 (d, J = 7.2, 3 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH); 2.20 (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH); 4.07 (AB, J = 17.4, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 5.17 (s, PhCH<sub>2</sub>O); 5.65 (d, H–C(2)); 7.30 (m, Ph). Anal. calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> (263.29): C 63.87, H 6.51, N 5.32; found: C 63.93, H 6.69, N 5.29.

tert-*Butyl* (2RS)-5-Oxo-2-phenyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (rac-5). According to a procedure of *Woodward* [16], a suspension of sodium *N*-benzylideneglycinate (3.7 g, 20 mmol), dry pyridine (1.58 g, 1.6 ml, 20 mmol) and *t*-BuOH (1.48 g, 1.88 ml, 20 mmol) in 50 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$  and treated dropwise within 30 min with a *ca*. 1.93M phosgene soln. in toluene (10.4 ml, 20 mmol). The resulting pale yellow suspension was stirred for additional 3 h at  $-78^{\circ}$  and warmed up to *ca*.  $-15^{\circ}$ . Stirring was continued for 4 d at  $-15^{\circ}$ . Finally, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate directly hydrolyzed in a phosphate buffer pH 7 (100 ml). The org. phase was separated and the aq. phase extracted with 50 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined org. extracts were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and evaporated to yield a partially crystallized brown solid (4.0 g). According to <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, the crude product contained *ca*. 60% of the desired compound. Purification by FC (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O 30:25:5): *rac*-5 as white powder, contaminated with traces of benzaldehyde (1.55 g, 29%). Recrystallization from Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane afforded fine colorless crystals (1.27 g, 25%). *R*<sub>f</sub> (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O 30:25:5) 0.27. M.p. 126.0–126.5°. IR (KBr): 3090w, 3060w, 3040w, 3040w, 2880m, 2830w, 1805s, 1795s, 1655s, 1655w, 1535w, 1495w, 1480w, 1455m, 1400s, 1370s, 1330w, 1310m, 1255s, 1200m, 1170s, 1125w, 1080w, 1040m, 1030m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz): 1.40 (br. s, *t*-BuO); 4.07

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>) We thank *Claudio Cescato* for carrying out these reactions as part of his course work done under the supervision of one of the authors (D.B.).

(*A* of *AB*, *J* = 17.5, H–C(4)); 4.33 (*B* of *AB*, *J* = 17.5, H–C(4)); 6.62 (br. *s*, H–C(2)); 7.40 (*s*, Ph). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz): 28.15; 44.97; 82.33; 90.00; 126.12; 128.78; 129.82; 136.89; 152.06; 169.66. MS: 264 ([M + 1]<sup>+</sup>), 206 (52), 190 (36), 164 (43), 118 (49), 105 (52), 91 (47), 77 (52), 65 (14), 57 (100), 41 (67). Anal. calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> (263.29): C 63.87; H 6.51; N 5.32; found: C 63.71, H 6.49, N 5.24.

rac-2-(tert-*Butyl*)-2H,4H-1,3-*dioxin-4-one* (*rac-***8**). According to the procedure in [18] by using toluene as solvent, *rac-***8** was obtained in 29% yield. B.p. 30°/0.04 Torr. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3010w, 2980m, 2960m, 2880w, 1745s, 1730s, 1610s, 1480m, 1405m, 1395s, 1370m, 1280s, 1265s, 1080s, 1040m, 925m, 810s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 1.06 (*s*, *t*-Bu); 5.10 (*s*, H-C(2)); 5.47 (*d*, J = 5.6, H-C(5)); 7.36 (*d*, J = 5.6, H-C(6)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 23.94; 34.44; 99.42; 106.69; 160.73; 161.94. MS: 86 (18), 70 (15), 69 (5), 57 (81), 55 (7), 44 (6), 43 (19), 42 (8), 41 (100), 40 (5), 39 (42), 38 (6), 29 (42), 27 (22). Anal. calc. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (156.18): C 61.52, H 7.64; found: C 61.39, H 7.64.

(R)-5-Bromo-2-(tert-butyl)-2H,4H-1,3-dioxin-4-one ((R)-12). The mixture of *cis*-11 (2.5 g, 10.5 mmol), N-bromosuccinimide (NBS; 4.1 g, 23.0 mmol) and 2,2'-dimethyl-2,2'-azobis[propanenitrile] (AIBN; 50 mg) in CCl<sub>4</sub> (50 ml) was refluxed for 1.5 h, then cooled to 0°, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by FC (aluminium oxide basic, act. I, Et<sub>2</sub>O). Crude (R)-12 (802 mg, 32%; m.p. 85.5–90.0°,  $[\alpha]_D = -183.5 (c = 1.00)$ ) was recrystallized (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane): needles (260 mg, 10%). M.p. 91.0–94.0°.  $[\alpha]_D = -216.9 (c = 1.01)$ . IR (KBr): 3060w, 2970m, 2930w, 2870w, 1740s, 1600s, 1485m, 1400m, 1370m, 1350m, 1290m, 1270m, 1140m, 1060s, 990m, 780w, 750w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 1.06 (*s*, *t*-Bu); 5.19 (*s*, H–C(2)); 7.62 (*s*, H–C(6)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 23.86; 34.48; 94.04; 107.50; 158.45; 159.24. MS: 237 (69,  $[M + 2]^+$ ), 236 (39,  $[M + 1]^+$ ), 235 (71,  $M^+$ ), 234 (34), 193 (7), 191 (7), 151 (30), 150 (17), 149 (31), 148 (17), 122 (5), 120 (5), 87 (43), 86 (45), 71 (21), 69 (23), 57 (100), 43 (28), 41 (31), 39 (10), 29 (15), 27 (6), 18 (10). Anal. calc. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>11</sub>BrO<sub>3</sub> (235.08): C 40.88, H 4.72, Br 33.99; found: C 40.86, H 4.77, Br 33.84.

(S)-5-Bromo-2-(tert-butyl)-2H,4H-1,3-dioxin-4-one ((S)-12). As described above, from trans-11 (2.0 g, 8.44 mmol): crude (S)-12 (655 mg, 33%), [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -183.5 (c = 1.00). Recrystallization (pentane) gave (S)-12 (425 mg, 21%). M.p. 98.2-99.0°. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = +213.4 (c = 1.00).

(R)-2-(tert-Butyl)-1,3-dioxin-4-one ((R)-8). The mixture of (R)-12 (107 mg, 0.45 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.07 ml, 0.50 mmol) and Pd/C (4 mg, 10%) in AcOEt (2 ml) was stirred under H<sub>2</sub> for 1 h (TLC: hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1). More Pd/C (4 mg) was added and stirring continued for 2 h. After filtration and evaporation, the residue was purified by prep. TLC (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1): (R)-8 (55 mg, 78%). M.p. 39.0–40.8°. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -178.5 (c = 1.02).

(S)-2-(tert-Butyl)-1,3-dioxin-4-one ((S)-8). As described for (R)-8, from (S)-12 (110 mg, 0.47 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.07 ml, 0.50 mmol) and Pd/C (4 mg, 10%) in AcOEt (2 ml; 75 min): (S)-8 (48 mg, 67%). M.p. 41.8-45.8°.  $[\alpha]_D = +198.4 (c = 1.00).$ 

Recycling Chromatography. The following systems were used for the separation of enantiomers with the recycling mode: a) Knauer pump, type 64 with prep. head, injection (loop: 2 ml) and valve from Rheodyne; UV detector: Knauer with superprep. flow cell, connections: 1/16 inch steel capillars. b) Shimatsu LC-8A pump, integrator: Hitachi; otherwise as for a. c) Novaprep 5000 system from Separations Technology.

Data of the Separated Compounds. Benzyl Carboxylates **1b**: (-)-(S)-**1b**: M.p. 59.6–60.4°.  $[\alpha]_D = -17.2$  (c = 1.13). (+)-(R)-**1b**: M.p. 55.6–56.6°.  $[\alpha]_D = +15.3$  (c = 0.92).

tert-Butyl Carboxylates 5: (-)-(S)-5: M.p. 140.5-141.6°.  $[\alpha]_D = -76.3$  (c = 1.05). (+)-(R)-5: M.p. 140.4-141.8°.  $[\alpha]_D = +76.4$  (c = 1.05). Correlation via 13 to 14, see below.

2-(tert-Butyl)perhydro-3-methyl-4-oxopyrimidin-1-yl Benzoates 7: (-)-7: Purity ≥ 95% ee. M.p. 93.2–95.2°.  $[\alpha]_D = -50.3 \ (c = 1.01).$  (+)-7: Purity ≥ 94.5% ee. M.p. 92.0–93.2°.  $[\alpha]_D = +49.6 \ (c = 1.00).$ 

Separation of (2 RS)-2-(tert-Butyl)-3-[(naphthalen-1-yl)carbonyl]oxazolidin-5-one (rac-3) by FC. Conditions: Chiraspher (40–63 µm), 110 g; column diameter 3 cm; 1 g of rac-3 in 1 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; pressure 0.3 bar; fraction size 20 ml. Results: Table 2.

| Eluent            | Temp.<br>[℃] | Total                  | First enanti   | iomer                          | Second enantiomer |                                |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Hexane/<br>i-PrOH |              | number of<br>fractions | Amount<br>[mg] | Purity<br>[%ee] <sup>a</sup> ) | Amount<br>[mg]    | Purity<br>[%ee] <sup>a</sup> ) |
| 9:1               | 0            | 42                     | 370 mg         | 95                             | 171               | 97                             |
| 11:1              | 0            | 60                     | 326 mg         | > 99.5                         | 353               | 83                             |
| 9:1               | -10          | 80                     | 402 mg         | 97                             | 378               | 91                             |

Table 2. FC Separation of rac-3

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-4-Methyl-5-oxo-2-phenyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (13). BuLi (0.61 ml, 0.98 mmol) was added dropwise at -78° to a soln. of HMDS (0.2 ml, 0.98 mmol) in THF (5 ml). The resulting soln. was stirred for 30 min at -78° and added 'via cannula' to a soln. of (+)-5 (237 mg, 0.9 mmol) in 12 ml of THF, which was precooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ . The resulting pale yellow enolate soln. was stirred for an additional 15–20 min at  $-78^{\circ}$  and treated with MeI (255 mg, 0.11 ml, 1.8 mmol). The mixture was warmed up to r.t. overnight and poured into a mixture of sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl soln. (20 ml) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 ml). The org. phase was separated, the aq. phase extracted with another portion of 20 ml of  $Et_2O$ . The combined org. extracts were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated to afford a whiteyellow solid (223 mg, 90%), which was purified by FC (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O 30:25:2) to yield 13 as a colorless powder (172 mg, 70%). Recrystallization from Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane yielded fine crystals (162 mg, 62%); %ds  $\ge$  96 (300-MHz<sup>1</sup>H-NMR).  $R_{\rm f}$  (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O 30:25:2) 0.24. M.p. 162.0-162.6°. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = +116.6 (c = 1.02). IR (KBr): 3090w, 3060w, 3040w, 3000w, 2980m, 2940w, 2880w, 1780s, 1740w, 1690s, 1650w, 1590w, 1540w, 1490w, 1475m, 1460m, 1445w, 1405s, 1370s, 1340w, 1325m, 1300w, 1280w, 1250s, 1200m, 1175s, 1140s, 1070m, 1010s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz): 1.22 (br. s, t-BuO); 1.68 (d, J = 6.6, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(4)); 4.51 (q, J = 6.8, H-C(4)); 6.40 (br. s, H-C(2)); 7.31-7.43 (m, Ph). NOE: irrad. at 1.68-signal increase at 6.40 (trans-arrangement); irrad. at 4.51->signal increase at 7.31-7.43 (trans-arrangement). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz): 16.89; 27.98; 51.95; 81.80; 89.66; 126.55; 128.73; 129.96; 137.75; 151.28; 172.65. MS: 277 (M<sup>+</sup>), 221 (21), 204 (5), 178 (13), 132 (41), 107 (20), 90 (6), 77 (16), 70 (20), 57 (100), 51 (8), 41 (35), 29 (20). Anal. calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> (277.32): C 64.97; H 6.91; N 5.05; found: C 64.94, H 6.93, N 4.95.

*Hydrolysis of* **13** to (-)-N-[(tert-*Butoxy*)*carbonyl*]-L-*alanine* **(14)**. A soln. of **13** (112 mg, 0.4 mmol) in THF/H<sub>2</sub>O 3:1 (10 ml) was treated at 0° with LiOH (19.4 mg, 0.8 mmol). After 20 min, no more **13** could be detected by TLC. Benzaldehyde was extracted twice in 20 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The aq. phase was separated and carefully acidified to pH 2–3 at 0° by adding aq. 1N HCl. The product was extracted with AcOEt (2 × 20 ml) and the combined org. phases dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and evaporated: **14** (74.1 mg, 97%). Colorless, highly viscous oil. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -24.5 (c = 2.25, AcOH) ([32]: [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -22.4 (c = 2, AcOH)). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: identical with that of an authentic sample.

tert-Butyl (2RS,4SR, $\alpha$ RS)-4-( $\alpha$ -Hydroxy-4-methoxybenzyl)-5-oxo-2-phenyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (rac-15). As described above for 13, a soln. of the Li-enolate of rac-5 (789 mg, 3 mmol) was treated dropwise at  $-100^{\circ}$  with anisaldehyde (0.4 ml, 3.3 mmol) (pale yellow $\rightarrow$ colorless soln.). Stirring was continued for another 30 min at  $-100^{\circ}$  and the reaction was finally quenched by adding 1N AcOH in THF. The mixture was poured into a mixture of 20 ml of sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and 20 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The org. phase was separated and the aq. phase extracted with another 20 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined org. extracts were washed with brine, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated: TLC (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt 3:3:1) of the oily residue:  $R_f$  0.17 (major) and 0.38 (by-product). 300-MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (at 59.4° due to the presence of rotamers at r.t.): two diastereoisomers in a *ca*. 6:1 ratio. FC (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt 3:3:1) afforded *rac*-15 (0.80 g, 67%) and its diastereoisomer ( $R_f$  0.38; 202 mg, 17%) as colorless oils.

**15**: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz): 1.18 (br. *s*, *t*-BuO); 3.25 (br. *s*, OH); 3.82 (*s*, MeO); 4.86 (*dd*, J = 4.7, 1.1, H-C(4)); 5.52 (*d*,  $J = 4.7, H-C(\alpha)$ ); 5.61 (br. *s*, H-C(2)); 6.90-7.40 (*m*, Ph, MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>); similarity of this spectrum to that of *benzyl* (2R,4S, $\alpha$ R)-2-(tert-*butyl*)-4-( $\alpha$ -hydroxy-4-methoxybenzyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate [11] suggests the same relative *threo*-configuration for **15**.

*Diastereosiomer of* **15**: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.27$  (*s*, 9 H, *t*-BuO); 3.30 (br. *s*, 1 H, OH); 4.72 (*d*, *J* = 4, 1 H, H–C(4)); 5.28 (br. 1 H, H–C(1')); 6.29 (br. *s*, 1 H, H–C(2)); 6.90–7.40 (*m*, 9 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

(2RS,3SR)-N-Benzyl-N-[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)serine (16). According to the procedure of Anwer [39], 15 (200 mg, 0.5 mmol) was treated under catalytic transfer hydrogenation with HCOONH<sub>4</sub> (126 mg, 2 mmol) and 10% Pd/C (100 mg) in 5 ml of MeOH at r.t. (TLC monitoring). After 60 min, the catalyst was filtered off through a *Celite* pad and the filtrate evaporated. The residue was taken up in 10 ml of AcOEt and washed with brine. The org. phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and evaporated to afford a white solid in quant. yield. Recrystallization from AcOEt/hexane: anal. pure 16 (153 mg, 98%). M.p. 144.6–145° (dec.). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz): 1.45 (*s, t*-Bu); 3.83 (*s,* MeO); 3.95 (*A* of *AB*, *J* = 15, 1 H, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 4.09 (*d*, *J* = 5, H–C(2)); 4.22 (*B* of *AB*, *J* = 15, 1 H, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 5.43 (br., H–C(3)); 6.82 (*d*, *J* = 8.5, 1 arom. H); 6.91 (br. *s*, OH, COOH); 7.16 (*d*, *J* = 8.6, 2 arom. H); 7.20 (*s*, Ph). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz): 28.24; 54.37; 55.28; 67.42; 73.04; 82.17; 113.73; 127.07; 127.36; 127.85; 128.35; 132.74; 136.59; 157.93; 158.98; 172.19. Anal. calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>6</sub> (401.46): C 65.82; H 6.78; N 3.49; found: C 65.45; H 6.68; N 3.38.

## REFERENCES

- D. Seebach, R. Imwinkelried, Th. Weber, in 'Modern Synthetic Methods', Ed. R. Scheffold, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1986, p. 125.
- [2] D. Seebach, J. Zimmermann, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 1104.
- [3] D. Seebach, E. Juaristi, D. D. Miller, C. Schickli, Th. Weber, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 237.
- [4] R. Fitzi, D. Seebach, Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 5277.
- [5] D. Seebach, J. Zimmermann, U. Gysel, R. Ziegler, T. Ha, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4763.
- [6] D. Seebach, St. Müller, U. Gysel, J. Zimmermann, Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 1303.
- [7] W. Amberg, D. Seebach, Chem. Ber. 1990, 123, 2429.
- [8] C. P. Schickli, D. Seebach, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1991, 655; D. Seebach, M. Bürger, C. P. Schickli, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1991, 669.
- [9] D. Seebach, U. Gysel, J. N. Kinkel, Chimia 1991, 45, 114.
- [10] E. Juaristi, D. Quintana, B. Lamatsch, D. Seebach, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2553.
- [11] D. Blaser, D. Seebach, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1991, in press.
- [12] K. Schlögl, M. Widhalm, Monatsh. Chem. 1984, 115, 1113; A. Werner, Kontakte (Darmstadt) 1989, 3, 50.
- [13] J. Porath, M. Bennich, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. Suppl. I 1962, 152.
- [14] H. Kalaz, J. Nagy, J. Knoll, J. Chromatogr. 1975, 105, 35; M. Martin, F. Veillon, C. Egon, G. Guiochon, *ibid*. 1976, 125, 17; B. Coq, G. Cretier, J. L. Vialie, J. Liq. Chromatogr. 1981, 4, 237.
- [15] K. Hostettmann, M. Hostettmann, A. Marston, 'Preparative Chromatography Techniques', Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1986, p. 37; Journal of Chromatography Library Vol. 38, 'Preparative Liquid Chromatography', Ed. B.A. Bidlingmeyer, Elsevier, New York, 1987; H. Quast, H. Jakobi, B. Seiferling, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1991, 41.
- [16] R. B. Woodward, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 852.
- [17] B. Holmberg, Ark. Kemi B 1936, 12, 1.
- [18] M. Sato, K. Sekiguchi, J. Ogasawara, C. Kaneko, Synthesis 1985, 224.
- [19] E. V. Dehmlow, A. R. Schamout, Liebigs. Ann. Chem. 1982, 1753.
- [20] D. Seebach, R. Imwinkelried, G. Stucky, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 448.
- [21] J. Zimmermann, Ph. D. Thesis, ETH Diss. No.8518, Zürich, 1988.
- [22] Y. Noda, D. Seebach, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 2137.
- [23] A. Brunner, Master's Thesis, ETH Zürich, 1990; D. Seebach, A. K. Beck, A. Brunner, V. Montanari, Chimia, in preparation.
- [24] M. Gautschi, unpublished results, ETH Zürich.
- [25] M. Sato, K. Takayama, Y. Abe, T. Furuya, N. Inkukai, Ch. Kaneko, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1990, 38, 336.
- [26] M. Demuth, A. Palomer, H.-D. Sluma, Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 1093; ibid. Int. Ed. 1986, 25, 1117.
- [27] M. Sato, Ch. Orii, J. Sakaki, Ch. Kaneko, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1435.
- [28] D. Morel, J. Serpinet, J. M. Letoffe, P. Claudy, Chromatographia 1986, 22, 103; T. C. Schunk, M. F. Burke, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 1986, 25, 81.
- [29] J.J. Pesek, Y. Lu, A. M. Siouffi, F. Grandperrin, Chromatographia 1991, 31, 147.
- [30] W.C. Still, M. Kahn, A. Mitra, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.
- [31] S. Karady, J. S. Amato, L. M. Weinstock, Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 4337. A. Fadel, J. Salaün, ibid. 1987, 28, 2243; K.Nebel, M. Mutter, Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 4793.
- [32] Beilstein, 4, IV, 2507.
- [33] D. Seebach, E. Dziadulewicz, L. Behrendt, S. Cantoreggi, R. Fitzi, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1989, 1215.
- [34] D. Blaser, S. Y. Ko, D. Seebach, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, in press.
- [35] D. Seebach, A. Fadel, Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 68, 1243.
- [36] K. Suzuki, D. Seebach, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1991, in preparation.
- [37] R. Naef, Ph. D. Thesis, ETH Diss. No.7442, Zürich, 1983; D. Seebach, R. Naef, Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 2704; D. Seebach, R. Naef, G. Calderari, Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1313.
- [38] B. Strijtveen, R. M. Kellogg, Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 5039.
- [39] M.K. Anwer, A.F. Spatola, Synthesis 1980, 929.